EFFECTS OF DIETARY CALCIUM LEVELS ON GROWTH-PERFORMANCE AND DIGESTIVE FUNCTION IN CATTLE FED A HIGH-FAT FINISHING DIET

R. A. Zinn, Y. Shen, R. Barajas, M. Montaño,

E. Alvarez, and E. Ramirez

Desert Research and Extension Center, University of California, El Centro

92243

ABSTRACT: Sixty medium-framed crossbred yearling steers (395 kg) were used in a 90-d feeding trial to evaluate the effects of .5, .7, and .9% Ca in a high-fat (6%) finishing diet on growth-performance. Increasing dietary Ca level enhanced ADG (linear effect, P < .10) and feed efficiency (linear effect, P < .05). In both cases, the bulk of the improvement occurred when Ca level was increased from .7 to .9%. There were linear (P < .05) and quadratic (P < .10) components to Ca level effects on diet NE. As with ADG and feed efficiency, responses to .5 and .7% dietary Ca were similar. Increasing Ca level to .9% increased diet NE_m and NE_{q} by 6.5 and 8.0%, respectively. There also, were positive linear components (P < .10) to Ca level effects on dressing percentage and ribeye area. Ten Holstein steers (348 kg) with were used in a crossover design experiment to evaluate the influence of .7 and .9% dietary Ca on digestive function. Increasing Ca level decreased ruminal digestion of OM (5%, P < .05), ADF (37%, P < .10), and feed N (18%, P < .05), and increased ruminal microbial efficiency (12%, P < .10) and ruminal N efficiency (14%, P < .01). Increasing Ca level enhanced postruminal digestibility of OM (4%, P < .05) and N (4%, P < .01). There were no treatment effects (P > .10) on total tract digestion of OM, ADF, starch or N. The net effect of increasing Ca level was greater postruminal absorption of OM (12%, P <.01), N (19%, P < .01) and lipid (10%, P < .10). Increasing Ca level did not affect (P > .10) either ruminal pH or soluble Ca. Ruminal soluble Ca (Ca, mM) was closely associated with ruminal pH ($R^2 = .93$). It is concluded that dietary Ca levels greater than .7% are necessary to achieve optimal performance of feedlot steers fed a high-fat finishing diet.

Introduction

Numerous metabolism trials have indicated that when Ca is increased in fat supplemented diets digestibility (usually fiber) also increases (Grainger et al., 1961; Davison and Woods, 1963; Galbraith et al., 1971; Galbraith and Miller, 1973; Jenkins and Palmquist, 1982; Drackley et al., 1985). Unfortunately, very little work has been reported which evaluates the influence of dietary Ca level on performance responses of feedlot cattle when fed high-fat finishing diets. Hatch et al (1972) compared intakes of 11, 22, 32, and 52 g/d Ca in steers fed a high-moisture corn-based finishing diet containing 0, 3 or 6% tallow. No interactions were observed between Ca level and supplemental fat. Surprisingly, there were no treatment effects (Ca level or supplemental fat) on ADG or feed efficiency. Bock et al. (1991) compared Ca intakes of 58 vs 87 g/d (.6 vs .9% Ca) in steers fed a 90% concentrate (wheat-based) finishing diet containing 3.5% tallow or soybean oil soapstock. An interaction was observed between fat source and Ca level. With soybean oil soapstock, increasing Ca level increased ADG (5%), with little difference in feed conversion. In contrast, with supplemental tallow, increasing Ca level decreased ADG (9%) and feed efficiency (6%). Zinn and Shen (1996) compared .45 vs .90% Ca in a barley-based finishing diet containing 0 vs 5% yellow grease. There was no interaction between dietary Ca and the feeding value of supplemental fat. However, total lipid intake in that trial was not extreme (6.8% of diet DM) The objective of this study was to further evaluate the influence of Ca levels in a high-fat (11.1% of diet DM) finishing diet on growth-performance and digestive function of feedlot steers.

Experimental Procedure

<u>Trial 1.</u> Sixty medium-framed crossbred yearling steers (approximately 25% Brahman breeding with the remainder represented by Hereford, Angus, Shorthorn,

and Charolais breeds in various proportions) with an average initial weight of 395 kg were used in a 90-d feeding trial. The trial was initiated October 15, 1992. Steers were blocked by weight and randomly allotted to 15 pens equipped with automatic waterers and fence-line feed bunks. Treatments consisted of three levels of dietary Ca; .5, .7, and .9% of diet DM. Composition of experimental diets are shown in Table 1. Steers were implanted with Synovex-S (Syntex, Des Moines, IA). Diets were prepared at weekly intervals and stored in plywood boxes located in front of each pen. Steers were allowed ad libitum access to dietary treatments. Fresh feed was provided twice daily. Hot carcass weights were obtained from all steers at time of slaughter. After the carcasses were chilled for 48 h the following measurements were obtained: 1) ribeye muscle area, taken by direct grid reading of the muscle at the 12th rib; 2) subcutaneous fat over the ribeye muscle at the 12th rib taken at a location 3/4 the lateral length from the chine bone end; 3) kidney, pelvic and heart fat (KPH) as a percentage of carcass weight and 4) marbling score (USDA, 1965). Energy retention (ER, megacalories) was derived from measures of live weight (LW, kilograms) and ADG (kilograms/day) according to the following equation: Steers EG = $(.0493LW^{.75})ADG^{1.097}$ (NRC, 1984). Net energy content of the diet for maintenance and gain were calculated assuming a constant fasting heat production (MQ) of .077LW.75 Mcal/d (Lofgreen and Garrett, 1968). From estimates of ER and MQ, the NE_m and NE_q values of the diets were obtained by process of iteration (Zinn, 1987) to fit the relationship: NE_{α} = (.877NEm) - .41 (NRC, 1984). This trial was analyzed as a randomized complete block design experiment (Cochran and Cox, 1950). Treatment effects were tested for linear and quadratic components by means of orthogonal polynomials.

Trial 2. Ten Holstein steers (348 kg) with "T" cannulas in the rumen and proximal duodenum (6 cm from the pyloric sphincter) were used in a crossover design experiment to evaluate the influence of .7 and .9% dietary Ca (treatments 2 and 3 of Trial 1) on digestive function. A basal diet was prepared which was the same as treatment 1 (Table 1), except that .5% chromic oxide was added as a digesta marker. All steers received the same basal diet in combination with either .63 or 1.25% limestone (DM basis). The limestone was hand-mixed into the basal diet at the time of feeding. Steers were allowed ad libitum access to experimental diets, with feed provided twice daily (0800 and 2000). Orts were mixed with subsequent feed allotments and refed. Following a 10-d treatment adjustment period, duodenal and fecal samples were taken from respective steers twice daily over a period of four successive days. The protocol for sample collection and analysis was the same as outlined by Zinn and Shen (1996). Microbial organic matter (MOM) and N (MN) leaving the abomasum were calculated using purines as a microbial marker (Zinn and Owens, 1986). Organic matter fermented in the rumen (OMF) was considered equal to OM intake minus the difference between the amount of total OM reaching the duodenum and MOM reaching the duodenum. Feed N escape to the small intestine was considered equal to total N leaving the abomasum minus ammonia N and MN and, thus, includes any endogenous contributions. Methane production was calculated based on the theoretical fermentation balance for observed molar distribution of VFA and OM fermented in the rumen (Wolin, 1960). Endogenous urinary energy loss was estimated as $.63W_{kg}$.⁵⁰ (derived from Brouwer, 1965 and NRC, 1984). This trial was analyzed as a crossover design experiment as follows: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + P_j + T_k + E_{ijk}$, where Y is the response variable, A is animal, P is period, T is treatment, and E is residual error (Cochran and Cox, 1950).

Implications

It is concluded that dietary Ca levels greater than .7% are necessary to achieve optimal performance of feedlot steers fed a high-fat finishing diet.

Table 1. Composition of	diets (Trials 1	and 2) ^a .	
	Dietary	calcium,	olo
Item	.50	.70	.90
Ingredient composition,	% of DM		
Alfalfa hay	8.00	8.00	8.00
Sudangrass hay	4.00	4.00	4.00
Steam-flaked corn	72.50	71.87	71.25
Soybean meal	2.50	2.50	2.50
Light grease	6.00	6.00	6.00
Cane molasses	5.00	5.00	5.00
Limestone	.55	1.18	1.80
Dicalcium phosphate	.10	.10	.10
Urea	.85	.85	.85
Trace mineral salt ^b	.50	.50	.50
Nutrient composition, D	M basis		
Ne_m , Mcal/kg ^c	2.38	2.36	2.35
${\tt NE}_{\tt g}$, Mcal/kg ^c	1.67	1.66	1.65
CP, %	11.9	11.8	11.8
Lipid, %	11.2	11.1	11.1

Ca, %	.47	.67	.88
P, %	.33	.33	.32

^aIn Trial 2, .5% chromic oxide was added to the diets as a digesta marker. ^bTrace mineral salt contained: CoSO₄, .068%; CuSO₄, 1.04%; FeSO₄, 3.57%; ZnO, 1.24%; MnSO₄, 1.07%; KI, .052%; and NaCl, 92.96%. ^cBased on tabular values (NRC, 1984), except for light grease (Zinn, 1988)..

Table 2. Influence of calcium level on performance of steers fed a high-fat finishing diet (Trial 1)

	Dieta	, %		
Item	.50	.70	.90	SD
Pens replicates	5	5	5	
Days on test	90	90	90	
Weight, kgª				
Initial	397	394	394	
Final ^b	525	525	540	
ADG, kg°	1.42	1.45	1.61	.15
DMI, kg/d	7.67	7.82	7.91	.52
DM conversion ^d	5.40	5.40	4.92	.25
Diet NE, Mcal/kg				
Maintenancede	2.34	2.32	2.47	.07
Gain ^{de}	1.64	1.63	1.76	.06
Observed/expected diet NE				
Maintenance ^{de}	.98	.98	1.05	.03
Gain ^{de}	.98	.98	1.06	.04
^a Initial and final live ^b Carcass adjusted final ^c Linear effect, P < .10 ^d Linear effect, P < .05	e weights : L weight.).	reduced 4%	to accou	nt for fil

^eQuadratic effect, P < .10.

	Diet	Dietary calcium, %				
Item	.50	.70	.90	SD		
Carcass weight, kg	332	333	342	9		
Dressing percentage ^a	62.9	62.9	64.1	.9		
Ribeye area ^{2a}	82.6	84.2	86.5	3.3		
Fat thickness, cm	1.54	1.67	1.32	.3		
KPH, % ^b	2.32	2.37	2.45	.21		
Marbling score $^{\circ}$	4.2	4.3	4.5	.5		
Retail yield, %	49.4	49.3	50.1	.8		
Liver abscess, %	0	10	10	10		

Table 3. Influence of calcium level on carcass traits of steers fed a high-fat finishing diet (Trial 1) _

^aLinear effect, P < .10. ^bKidney, pelvic and heart fat as a percentage of carcass weight. ^cCoded: Minimum slight = 3, minimum small = 4, etc.

Table	7.	Influence	e of	dietar	Y	calcium	on	rumi	nal	VFA,	molar	proportions	and
estimat	ted	methane	produ	iction	4 ł	n after	feed	ling	(Tri	al 2)			

	Dietary ca %	alcium,	
Item	.70	.90	SD
Ruminal VFA, mol/100 mol			
Acetate	51.3	51.4	4.6
Propionate	37.1	36.5	5.0
Butyrate	11.7	12.1	2.5
Methane production ^a	.40	.40	.06

Methane, mol/mol glucose equivalent fermented.

	Dietary c	alcium, %	
Item	.70	.90	SD
Steer replicates	10	10	
Intake, g/d			
DM	6,819	6,959	565
OM	6,450	6,540	535
Starch	2,930	2,971	243
ADF	549	556	45
Ν	130	132	11
Lipid	719	729	60
Ruminal digestion,	% intake		
OMp	66.5	63.3	2.7
Starch	91.2	90.7	2.4
ADF ^a	24.4	15.3	10.3
Feed $N^{\rm b}$	56.1	45.8	6.9
Microbial efficiency ^{ac}	16.7	18.7	2.4
N efficiency ^{de}	.99	1.13	.09
Postruminal digest abomasum	ion, % leavi	ng	
OM^{b}	64.5	66.8	1.8
Starch	95.3	95.2	1.4
ADF	25.6	28.2	16.5
N^d	77.0	79.9	1.2
Lipid ^a	56.6	58.0	3.7
Total tract digest	ion, % intak	2	
OM	84.3	84.0	1.3
Starch	99.6	99.6	.1
ADF	44.3	42.2	5.7
N	76.6	76.8	1.4

Table 4. Influence of calcium level on characteristics of OM, starch, ADF, N, and lipid digestion (Trial 2) $\,$

^a Treatments	differ, P	< .10.
^b Treatments	differ, P	< .05.
^c Microbial N	l, g/kg OM	fermented.
dTreatments	differ, P	< .01.
^e Duodenal no	on-ammonia	N/N intake.

Table 5. Influence of calcium level on characteristics of calcium digestion (Trial 2)

	Dietary ca %		
Item	.70	.90	SD
Calcium, g/d			
Intakeª	48.6	66.3	4.2
Leaving abomasum ^a	44.3	65.3	7.1
Fecal excretion ^{b}	38.6	51.5	8.6
Apparent calcium absor	cption, g/d		
Ruminal	4.4	1.0	6.3
Postruminal°	5.7	13.8	9.6
Total tract	10.0	14.9	10.8
^a Treatments differ ^b Treatments differ	, P < .01. P < 05		

^DTreatments differ, P < .05. ^CTreatments differ, P < .10.

Table 6. Influence of dietary calcium level on ruminal pH and soluble calcium concentration (Trial 2)

	Dietar calcium	у , %		
Item	.70	.90	SD	

Ruminal pH

2 h after feeding	5.48	5.52	.14
4 h after feeding	5.62	5.62	.21
8 h after feeding	5.69	5.77	.27
Ionized calcium, mM			
2 h after feeding	3.93	4.29	1.32
4 h after feeding	3.22	3.49	1.68
8 h after feeding	3.00	2.64	2.16